

ADJUSTED INDIRECT COMPARISON BETWEEN PLOZASIRAN AND ZODASIRAN IN MIXED HYPERLIPIDEMIA

M.D.P. BRICEÑO CASADO, M.D. GIL-SIERRA, <u>C. MORENO RAMOS</u>, J. CORDERO RAMOS, R. CASTILLEJO GARCIA.
HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARIO PUERTO REAL. SERVICIO EXTREMEÑO DE SALUD, SERVICIOS CENTRALES. SUBDIRECCIÓN DE FARMACIA. MÉRIDA- BADAJOZ, SPAIN.

BACKGROUND AND IMPORTANCE

- ➤ **Plozasiran** and **zodasiran** → RNA interference agents developed for the treatment of mixed hyperlipidemia.
- > No direct comparisons between these drugs have been performed.

AIM AND OBJECTIVES

To conduct **adjusted indirect comparisons (AICs)** on **efficacy** between plozasiran and zodasiran in mixed hyperlipidemia.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

- ➤ A search was developed in **Pubmed®** database to select pivotal randomised clinical trials (RCTs) including plozasiran and zodasiran in mixed hyperlipidemia.
- > These RCTs had to presented comparable populations, follow-up periods, endpoints and comparator arms.
- ➤ AICs of regimens with best benefit-risk balance were developed using **Bucher's method** on the following **endpoints**: percentage change in plasma levels from baseline to week 24 of fasting triglyceride, non-HDL cholesterol, ApoB, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, remnant cholesterol and lipoprotein(a).
- > The absolute risk reduction (ARR) was calculated for AICs.

RESULTS

- Two phase II RCTs were selected, one of each drug.
- A total of 557 patients were included.
- Doses of plozasiran 25 mg quarterly (PLOZ-25q) and zodasiran 200 mg (ZOD-200) were selected based on their superior risk-benefit balance.
- Placebo was common comparator.
- AICs limitations: short patient followup and minor differences in population characteristics (percentage of highintensity statins and fibrates received).

PLOZ-25q showed significant benefit on HDL cholesterol.

ZOD-200 presented significant favorable differences in non-HDL cholesterol, LDL cholesterol and remnant cholesterol.

ENDPOINTS (percentage change in plasma levels from baseline to week-24)	PLOZ-25q vs.	ARR (IC95%) ZOD-200 vs. placebo	AICs: ARR (IC95%) PLOZ-25q vs. ZOD- 200
Triglyceride	-56.0% (-65.1%,	-63.1% (-73.6%,	7% (-7.16%,
	-46.8%)	-52.7%)	21.16%)
Non-HDL cholesterol	-17.5% (-25.1%,	-36.4% (-45.5%,	19% (6.96%,
	-9.8%)	-27.2%)	31.04%)
ApoB	-13.0% (-20.6%,	-21.9% (-29.7%,	8% (-2.61%,
	-5.4%)	-14.1%)	18.61%)
LDL cholesterol	-2.7% (-12.4%,	-19.9% (-31.0%,	17% (2.08%,
	7.0%)	-8.8%)	31.92%)
HDL cholesterol	42.0% (32.1%,	-24.5% (-32.6%,	66% (53.19%,
	52.0%)	-16.5%)	78.81%)
Remnant	-48.9% (-62.7%,	-82.0% (-103.4%,	34% (8.61%,
cholesterol	-35.2%)	-60.6%)	59.39%)
Lipoprotein(a)	-23.8% (-134.1%,	-17.1% (-31.9%,	-6% (-116.95%,
	86.4%)	-2.3%)	104.95%)

CONCLUSION AND RELEVANCE

Significant favourable differences on differing surrogate endpoints were found for PLOZ-25q (HDL cholesterol) and ZOD-200 (non-HDL cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, remnant cholesterol) in mixed hyperlipidaemia. RCTs with harder endpoints and longer follow-up are needed to establish better therapeutic positioning.



