|                                    | Stakeholder categories                                 | Individuals working on or with experience in:  | Rationale of their contribution:   |
|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Delphi 1<br>Research<br>Priorities | Research Funders (public<br>and/or private)            | <ul> <li>* Responsibility in management and/or design of Public funding<br/>schemes for research (government agencies, public organizations,<br/>funding programs at universities, etc.).</li> <li>* Responsibility in management and/or design of Private funding<br/>schemes for research (research and development departments in<br/>companies, scientific societies, charities and other private<br/>organizations, etc.).</li> </ul> | views on how patient engagement fits into their decisions and processess to set priorities<br>for the research to be funded. Suitability of engagement practices in place. How it shapes<br>results                        |
|                                    | Regulators   | Health authority at national or regional level (end user of evidence to inform its decision making)  | views on the impact of patient engagement on the research agenda and how it affects<br>the usability of research findings for adoption and reimbursement decisions   |
|                                    | HTA Bodies   | Health Teachnology Assesment   | views on how patient engagement in research priorities affects the suitability of available evidence to assess new drugs   |
|                                    | Academics, researchers                                 | Conducting and/ or managing research   | views on how patient engagement affects their research agenda  |
|                                    | Healthcare Professionals                               | Healthcare providers (healthcare managers (primary care and hospital), clinicians/health professionals associations).  | views on how patient engagement in research priorities affects the availability and<br>adoption of new drugs   |
|                                    | Patients/ Patient organizations                        | Participating (in the role of patient) in research priorities setting, research projects, research design, etc.  | views on how patient engagement fits into funders' decisions and processess to set research priorities. Suitability of engagement practices in place   |
| Delphi 2<br>Clinical               | Clinical trials promoter<br>(pharma companies or else) | Designing, preparing and conducting clinical trials  | views on how patient engagement fits into their decisions and processess to conduct<br>clinical trials   |
| Trials                             | Academics, researchers                                 | Designing, preparing and conducting clinical trials  | views on how patient engagement affects their research agenda and the quality of findings  |
|                                    | Healthcare professionals                               | Designing, preparing and conducting clinical trials  | views on how patient engagement affects new drugs availability and adoption  |
|                                    | HTA bodies   | Clinical trials Health Technologies Assessment   | views on how patient engagement affects the suitability of available evidence to assess<br>new drugs   |
|                                    | Regulators   | Clinical trials regulation   | views on how patient engagement in design and analysys of clinical trials affects the quality (including ethics-wise) and usability of findings  |
|                                    | Patients/ Patient organizations                        | Participating (in the role of patient) in designing, preparing and conducting clinical trials.   | views on how patient engagement fits into researchers and funders' decisions and<br>processess to design clinical trials. Suitability of engagement practices in place. How it<br>shapes quality and usability of findings |
| Delphi 3                           | HTA bodies   | Participating in Early Dialogues   | views on how patient engagement fits into early dialogues dynamics. Suitability of<br>engagement practices in place. How it shapes results   |
| Early<br>Dialogues                 | Pharma companies, Industry                             | Participating in Early Dialogues   | views on how patient engagement fits into early dialogues dynamics. Suitability of engagement practices in place. How it shapes results  |
|                                    | Regulators   | Health authority at national or regional level (reimbursement decision maker) participating in early dialogues   | views on how patient engagement fits into early dialogues dynamics. Suitability of engagement practices in place. How it shapes results  |
|                                    | Patients/ Patient organizations                        | Participating (in the role of patient) in early dialogues  | views on how patient engagement fits into early dialogues dynamics. Suitability of engagement practices in place. How it shapes results  |

\* Special attention should be paid to having equal number of: Female and male representatives of each categories and of individuals coming from different countries