

Identification of relevant drug interactions in Neonatal Intensive Care Units

A. Cransac¹, D. Semama², A. Lazzarotti³, J. Hugueny³, C. Sgro⁴, C. Ferdynus⁵, J.B. Gouyon⁶, P. Fagnoni³.

¹ Saint Antoine Hospital (APHP), Pharmacy, Paris, France.

² Dijon University Hospital, Pediatrics, Dijon, France.

³ Dijon University Hospital, Pharmacy, Dijon, France.

⁴ Dijon University Hospital, Regional Center of Pharmacovigilance, Dijon, France.

⁵ La Réunion University Hospital, Methodological Support Unit, La Réunion, France.

⁶ La Réunion University Hospital, Neonatology, Saint-Pierre La Réunion, France.

amelie.cransac@sat.aphp.fr

① Objectives

Among the different types of **medication errors**, **drug interactions** may have serious consequences in **Neonatal Intensive Care Units (NICU)**. However, they can be easily detected with appropriate tools, particularly in the context of a computerized prescribing system with pharmaceutical analysis.

The objective of this work was to calculate a **theoretical criticality index**, using a method inspired by the **Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA)** method, for each drug interaction identified in NICU and to **prioritize** them by pharmacists and physicians.

② Methods

The study was a retrospective review of prescriptions in a French NICU.

The study included prescriptions:

- for all preterm infants with gestational age below 33 weeks of gestation
- hospitalized between January 2006 and December 2009.

For each prescription, drug interactions were evaluated with the French **Theriaque®** medication database.

The **criticality index of each drug interaction (CI)** was calculated by multiplying **occurrence (O)**, **severity (S)** and **detection (D) scores** (Figure 1).

The scales of each score had been built by a multidisciplinary group. Severity and detection scores were assessed by pharmacists and physician. **Intraclass Correlation Coefficients^[1] (ICC)** were used to compare pharmacists and physicians scores, and a synthesis of the results was made.

$$CI_x = O_x \times S_x \times D_x$$

Figure 1 : criticality index of each drug interaction

③ Results

We identified **907** prescriptions with at least 2 drugs prescribed (**4605** drugs prescribed, with **109** different drugs). These prescriptions concerned **327** preterm infants. The five most prescribed drugs were : ascorbic acid + ergocalciferol + retinol + α -tocopherol (12.9 %), caffeine (11.4 %), vitamin K / phytonadione (10.4 %), sodium chloride (4.8 %), salbutamol (4.5 %).

Among the 907 prescriptions, **449** drug interactions were identified by using Theriaque®, and were categorized into **47 different drug interactions**.

The **10 most critical drug interactions** for pharmacists and physicians were detailed, and a common medical and pharmaceutical synthesis was established (Table I).

The ICC^[1] of the detection was **0.75** (95% CI: 0.63-0.88), and the severity was **0.32** (95% CI: 0.08-0.56), demonstrating that physicians and pharmacists do not have the same vision of severity of drug interactions in NICU.

Table I: Results of the most critical drug interaction for pharmacists and physician. (INN: International Nonproprietary Names)

Drug 1 INN	Drug 2 INN	Criticality index Physician	Criticality index Pharmacists	Comments about risks associated with drug interactions
rifampin	betamethasone	63.8	12.5	Report all associations limiting the effectiveness of corticosteroids. No means of detection biological available.
fluconazole	midazolam	52.5	35.0	Potential toxicity of midazolam.
hydrocortisone	ibuprofen	42.5	11.3	High risk with preterm infants. Detection means exist (fibroscopy, plain abdominal radiography).
vancomycin	amphotericin b liposome	42.5	7.0	Toxic effects may be additive. Biological analysis in the medium term only.
amikacin	vancomycin	37.5	27.0	Toxic effects may be additive.
rifampin	morphine	35.0	22.5	The decrease in the efficacy of morphine is intolerable. Pain assessment scales every 3 hours.
sufentanil	nalbuphine	35.0	22.5	To give information if nalbuphine is introduced after sufentanil, because there is a risk of a rapid opioid inhibition effect.
metronidazole	rifampin	33.8	22.5	Risk of reducing the effectiveness of antibiotics.
vecuronium bromure	amikacin	33.8	22.5	Exacerbation of respiratory depression. When stopping the amikacin there is a possible need to increase the dose of curare.
midazolam	sufentanil	25.5	33.8	Risk of hypotension. Newborns under sufentanil are intubated.
ciprofloxacin	hydrocortisone	22.5	157.5	No prolonged corticosteroid therapy in the neonatal period.
ciprofloxacin	betamethasone	15.0	105.0	No prolonged corticosteroid therapy in the neonatal period.
caffeine	ciprofloxacin	4.0	90.0	High therapeutic index of caffeine dosage. No caffeine dosage (unless apnea) made in clinical practice. CYP1A2 appears in the months after birth.
omeprazole	cyanocobalamin	20.0	85.0	In theory, no proton pump inhibitor in the neonatal period? (in our study they were prescribed between 2006 and 2009).
furosemide	ibuprofen	21.0	52.5	No indication of furosemide with prescription ibuprofen.
hydrocortisone	vecuronium bromure	7.5	35.0	Very short duration of corticosteroid therapy in the newborn.
spirinolactone	potassium chloride	5.0	35.0	Prescribed potassium probably due to hypokalemia. Biological monitoring conducted.

DI belonging to the top 10 of the physician

DI belonging to the top 10 of the pharmacists

DI belonging to the top 10 of the physician AND pharmacists

④ Discussion and conclusions

This work highlights the importance of **multidisciplinary** collaborations to **secure** the circuit of health products. This method can be used as a basis for future studies involving medical and pharmaceutical teams for the production of **pharmaceutical interventions**. It is easily **transferable** to other medical specialties with the same objectives.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a grant from the Direction de la Recherche Clinique du CHU de Dijon and the Conseil Régional de Bourgogne « 2010 ».

[1] SHROUT, P.E., FLEISS, J.L. Intraclass correlations: uses in assessing rater reliability. Psychol Bull, 1979, Mar;86, (2), 420-428.