



European  
Summit  
on



**Hospital** *pharmacy*




**2014**

**Patients and professionals  
making the future together**

Dr. Roberto Frontini, President EAHP

[president@eahp.eu](mailto:president@eahp.eu)

# Why a conference for Europe ?



\* Pharmaceutical Practice Model Initiative by ASHP



# Implementing the FIP statements 2008 ?

- ? FIP statements are global and do not consider the specific situation in Europe
- ? FIP statements are more political than practice-oriented
- ? FIP statements do not give a vision of how to develop from „level to level“

# Using the PPMI ?

? PPMI is linked to USA-Situation


Different Education

Different culture

physicians/nurses/pharmacists

? PPMI does not consider sufficiently important fields of pharmacy practice in Europe like e.g. production and compounding

# Challenges for Europe



# The goal



Not to create a common,  
but  
the **best** practice!

# What influences best practice ?



# What should we achieve?



- Foster development by defining pathways and milestones
- Create education behind
- Support quality assurance of the process





# The puzzle



# Some questions for the conference



- Who should attend (profile)?
- How should we support our members in making the choice?
- How can we avoid a missing participation by financial constraints?
- How do we assure input and feed-back?
- How do we integrate the FIP statements?
- How do we integrate the results of the PHARMINE project?




# Why have a Summit on Hospital Pharmacy?

The overall purposes and objectives of the proposed European Summit on Hospital Pharmacy can be summarised as:

- To provide **clarity about the future** path of development for hospital pharmacy services that the profession **AND ITS STAKEHOLDERS** want to see achieved
- To provide **an achievable and practical framework** for raising standards of practice that all countries in Europe can make use of
- To help hospital pharmacy in Europe meet known and unknown challenges, and be the best it can be, in the patient interest
- In doing this, to build on existing work including the FIP Basel Statements (2008) and Pharmine (2011)

**REQUIREMENTS FOR SUCCESS: OUTCOMES OF THE SUMMIT ARE CLEAR, PRACTICAL, AND USEABLE; AND ARE SUPPORTED AND ENDORSED BY THE PATIENT COMMUNITY WITH THEIR FULL INPUT SAUGHT AND SECURED**



# Principal activities of WG 1 to achieve its task

- **Develop a criteria for membership and participation in the stakeholder panel that is transparent, reasonable and stands up to external scrutiny**
- **Work with stakeholders to adequately prepare their knowledge and interest in hospital pharmacy and help stakeholders to appreciate the relevance of hospital pharmacy activity to the stakeholder community they represent**
- **Develop the voting mechanisms, agenda and operating procedures of the Summit itself**
- **Provide linkage between the work of the other Working Groups.**
- **Perform the communication, marketing and awareness raising activity of the overall Summit (before, during and after)**

## WG 1: Criteria for Stakeholders


- The organisation must be European-wide in its scope
- The organisation's remit must be firmly within the health sector linked to hospital pharmacy practice
- The organisation must be sufficiently independent of industry or commercial interest to the satisfaction of the Summit Steering Group
- The organisation must be willing and able to invest a requisite amount of time and energy to participation in the Summit, including time spent in preparation for the event

## WG 1: Criteria for Delegates

- **Broad knowledge of the situation of Hospital Pharmacy in their country**
- **Reporting to and representing the board of the national association**
- **Good english language skills**
- **Time and commitment to actively participate in the summit**

## Vote

- Every member of EAHP 1 vote = 50%
- Every patient group 1 vote up to 25%
- Other health professionals groups 1 vote up to 25%
- 85% of total vote necessary for agreement
- Less than 50% of total vote rejection
- 51-74% of total or gap between HP and the other groups more than 25% amendment after discussion





# Thank you very much for your attention!

