L04 - Immunosuppressive agents

# INFLUENCE OF THE ROUTE AND PHARMACEUTICAL PREPARATION IN INTRA-PATIENT VARIABILITY OF TACROLIMUS SERUM LEVELS IN THE LIVER TRANSPLANT PATIENT

S. García García<sup>1</sup>, C. Dopazo Taboada<sup>2</sup>, M.B Aller Hernández<sup>3</sup>, I. Bilbao Aguirre<sup>2</sup>, E. Pericas Bosch<sup>3</sup>, J.B. Montoro Ronsano<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Pharmacy Service, <sup>2</sup> Hepatolobiliar Surgery Service, <sup>3</sup> Artificial Intelligence Information Service, Vall d'Hebron University Hospital, Barcelona, Spain.

#### Purpose

Immunosuppressive therapy in liver transplant patients is targeted to avoid rejection to preserve graft survival and to minimize the risk of adverse reactions.

## **Material and Methods**

To assess the mean concentration and the intra-patient variability of tacrolimus serum levels after their administration through:

Immediate-prolonged release preparations
Different administration routes in liver transplant patients .

Influenceintacrolimusserumlevelsand variability.

4CPS-151

**Observational retrospective study**: January 2015- December 2017

- > Mean of tacrolimus serum levels
- Coefficient of variation
- ➢ Proportion of tacrolimus serum values lower than 5 ng/ml (P5)

Analized variables

- Proportion of patients with coefficient of variation superior to 30%
- > The influence of the pharmaceutical form of Tacrolimus

> The administration route

Immediate release

Liver transplanted patients > 18 years old

**Immediate release** 

#### **Prolonged release**

Immediate release

* To describe the intra-patient variability was used the	
coefficient of variation	

### Results

84 patients

#### Oral Nasogastric tube

Range of therapeutic tacrolimus plasmatic levels values is established between 5-20 ng/ml

Therapeutic control is considered inadequate if intrapatient variability is superior to 30 % or P5 was higher to 20 %.

#### **Global analysis:**

The values of the variables analyzed -mean FKs, P5 and CV30 observed- are 8.0ng/mL (SD,4.2), 19.3% (SD,39.6) and 66.0% (DE,46.9);technically, 68.3% patients had poor FKs control levels.

Pharmaceutical form	Mean of tacrolimus serum levels	<b>P5</b>	<b>Proportion of patients with coefficient of variation superior to 30%</b>
Immediate-release tacrolimus	<b>8.5 ng/mL</b> (IC95%,6.2-10.9),	<b>28.6 %</b> (IC95%,12.8- 44.3)	<b>58.1%</b> (IC95%,39.7-76.5)
Prolonged-release tacrolimus	<b>7.9 ng/mL</b> (IC95%,6.2-10.9),	<b>10.5 %</b> (IC95%:1.0-25.6)	<b>66.7 %</b> (IC95%,55.0-78.3)
Extended-release tacrolimus	<b>9.6 ng/mL</b> (IC95%,8.0-11.3),	<b>8.3 %</b> (IC95%,0.0-27.0)	<b>83.3 %</b> (IC95%,58.6-100.0)
	Mean of tacrolimus	<b>P5</b>	Proportion of patients with coefficient of
Administration route	serum levels		variation superior to 30%
Administration route Oral	8.5 ng/mL (IC95%,6.2-10.9),	28.6 % (IC95%,6.7- 24.9)	variation superior to 30% 58.1% (IC95%,40.0-76.5)
Administration route	serum levels		

## Conclusion

\* Mean FKs, P5 and CV30 observed varied widely among TacPP and administration route, statistical differences were only achieved for P5 within TacPP(P=0.044).

Our findings suggest that high intra-patient variability of tacrolimus serum levels exist, at least within the first month after the transplant date. Moreover, the intra-patient variability of tacrolimus serum levels after their administration through immediate-prolonged release preparations and/or a different administration route shows a wide range of variability that in concrete cases (P5) raises statistical significance.

