



IDENTIFICATION OF CANDIDATE DUAL/MONOTHERAPY PATIENTS IN TREATMENT WITH PROTEASE INHIBITORS: ECONOMIC SAVINGS

<u>F. RAMIS-RIERA¹, J.J. CASTELLANO-HERRADOR¹, M. MORENO-GARCÍA¹, M. MADRID-GONZALEZ¹, M.I. SANTOS-PEREZ¹, J. RODRIGUEZ-BARBERO¹, J. PRADA-LOBATO¹, M. ANTON-MARTÍNEZ¹, R.</u> **CORTÉS-FERNANDEZ¹**

¹HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARIO RIO HORTEGA, FARMACIA. VALLADOLID, SPAIN

PURPOSE

- ✓ Identify patients with HIV infection who are candidates for simplification of ART to dual-therapy or monotherapy.
- \checkmark Estimate the theoretical savings that would result from modifying the ART.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Observational cross-sectional descriptive study that included all patients with ART at the current date (September 2017). Patients with the following criteria were considered to simplify the ART to dual-therapy with IP/p + lamivudine or monotherapy with IP/p:

•TAR based on 2 nucleoside inverse transcriptase analogue (ITIAN) inhibitors + IP/p •Plasma viral load <50 copies/ mL for at least 6 months, absence of failure prior to IP/p Hepatitis B virus markers negative (DNA HBV and HBAg)

The theoretical savings have been calculated by the difference between current and simplified treatment costs(dual-therapy and monotherapy).



422 patients were receiving ART:

29/422 had already simplified ART 77.567€ saved/year

26/422 met the criteria for ART simplification:

Changing ART to dual therapy would be

Changing ART to monotherapy would be

78.829 € saved/year

90.030 € saved/year

Total savings: 156.397,54€/year (dual-therapy)

167.598,34€/year (monotherapy)

23rd Congress of the EAHP

CONCLUSIONS

Our results show that this strategy would lead to considerable savings. In this case, it would save approximately 5.7-6.1% of annual expenditure on ART.

Without interest conflicts