



WHICH MODEL TO ESTIMATE AT BEST THE THEORETICAL OSMOLARITY OF NOMINATIVE PARENTERAL NUTRITION?

V. LAURENT, C. DELAUNAY, A. GRASSAL, E. OLIVIER, N. CORMIER Pharmacie, CHU de Nantes, France vanille,laurent@gmail.com

AIM

Nominative parenteral nutritions (NPN) compounded at the pharmacy are submitted to several pharmaceutical controls, including <u>osmolarity</u>

Measured osmolarity > 1453 mosmol/L

Theoretical osmolarity is determined using Pereira Da Silva equation¹ (PDS) Measured osmolarity ≤ 1453 mosmol/L

Theoretical osmolarity is determined using the manufacturer data

To decrease the osmolarity nonconformity rate by determining the best suited formula to calculate the theoretical osmolarity



Osmolarity control is associated to a nonconformity rate of 8,9%

TERIALS AND METHOD

Retrospective analysis of the NPN osmolarity values on the last 27 months production

NPN divided into 7 ranges according to their measured osmolarity



Comparison of the Mean Relative Errors (MRE) between the theoretical osmolarities calculated with the PDS and MD equations and the measured osmolarity using a Student test

N=2572 NPN analyzed **Osmolarity** (mosmol/L) 750-999 1000-1249 1250-1499 1750-1999 500-749 2000 +1500-1749 % 25,5% 1,7% 19,6% 15,3% 18,4% 15,5% 4,0% p=0,99 p=1 p=0,027 p=6,5x10⁻⁴⁵ p=2,05x10⁻¹²⁹ p=1,66x10⁻³⁶ p=2,4x10⁻¹² Similar MREs with PDS Significant difference in MREs in favor of the PDS equation and MD equations

From 500 to 999 mosmol/L

Both PDS and MD equations can be used

From 1000 mosmol/L to over 2000

mosmol/L, PDS equation is more accurate to estimate NPN theoretical osmolarities

to estimate NPN theoretical osmolarities

The actual theoretical osmolarity calculation method should be revised in favor of the MD equation for NPN with osmolarities under 1000 mosmol/L and PDS equation for NPN with osmolarities over 1000 mosmol/L

References

1. L. Pereira-da-Silva. A Simple Equation to Estimate the Osmolarity of Neonatal Parenteral Nutrition Solutions. *Journal of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition*, 28(1), 34-37.