

# Using a pharmacist-led asthma service to assess the concordance between patient-reported ICS adherence and objective e-monitoring of ICS therapy

Gráinne d'Ancona<sup>1</sup>, Niall Stewart-Kelcher<sup>1</sup>, Ankita Patel<sup>1</sup>, Joshua Holmes<sup>2</sup>, Hadwin J<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup>Guy's & St Thomas' NHS London, UK. <sup>2</sup>Centre for Experimental Medicine, Queen's University Belfast, UK. <sup>3</sup>Propeller Health, Madison, USA.

### **Introduction and Aim**

- The prevalence of asthma, and the scale of sub-optimal inhaled corticosteroid (ICS) use therein, requires efficient detection of non-adherence. While several tools are available to estimate adherence to medicines in asthma, each varies in its limitations.
- The Test of Adherence to Inhalers<sup>1</sup> (TAI) questionnaire asks patients to rate their agreement with 10-items on a 5-point Likert scale. The items investigate intentional and unintentional non-adherence. The subsequent score classifies adherence as good (50/50), intermediate or poor ( $\leq$ 45/50). Two additional questions clarify patient recall of the dose regimen and inhaler technique.
- A more objective, though currently less accessible tool, is the electronic monitor (eMonitor), where a Bluetooth enabled sensor is attached to the inhaler device to record the date/time of each actuation. If actual use is >75% of expected use, adherence is good.
- Nitric Oxide in the exhaled breath can indicate inflammation due to asthma and measurement of Fractionated expired Nitric Oxide (FeNO) is a simple and non-invasive way of determining airways inflammation. The higher the value, the more inflammation is present.
- Inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) reduce lung epithelial inflammation and therefore FeNO. Consequently, the impact of guaranteed ICS administration (e.g. seen via an eMonitor) on an individual's HIGH FeNO result (>45ppb) can differentiate non-adherence to ICS therapy (the FeNO decreases significantly) from asthma inflammation refractory to high dose ICS therapy (there is so significant drop in FeNO). This is the FeNO suppression test<sup>2</sup> (FST).
- The aim of this evaluation was to ascertain whether the adherence classification from the patient completed TAI questionnaire correlated with adherence on an eMonitor

# TAI® Iest of Adherence to Inhalers



### **Methods**

- Patients attending a hospital difficult-to-treat asthma clinic completed the TAI questionnaire, had their FeNO measured, were coached on optimal ellipta inhaler technique and were given a Propeller® eMonitor to use on their ICS-containing ellipta inhaler at home.
- Patients were followed up 6-8 weeks later and at this appointment, the pharmacist re-checked their FeNO and extracted their eMonitoring adherence data from the Propeller portal. eMonitoring adherence was deemed optimal if it was  $\geq 75\%$  expected.
- The FST was positive if the follow-up FeNO value had decreased by >42% from the baseline FeNO.

# Results

- Data for 100 consecutive patients were analysed.
- 12/100 patients were excluded because they did not have the high baseline FeNO required to complete a FST; 12/88 patients were excluded because their eMonitoring data demonstrated suboptimal adherence, thus leaving data for 76 patients with good ICS adherence on the eMonitor available for this analysis.
- 35/76 of people exhibited a significant drop in their FeNO, that is, a positive FST.
  - > 12/35 (34%) had completed a TAI that implied their adherence was good, 19/35 (54%) that their adherence was intermediate and 4/35 (11%) that it was poor.
- 41/76 had a negative FST, that is, there was no significant drop in their FeNO.
  - > 15/41 (37%) answered their TAI to suggest their adherence was good, 21/41 (51%) that it was intermediate and 5/41 (12%), that it was poor.

The degree of agreement between patient reported adherence (TAI) and biomarker-led interpretation of adherence (FST)

		eMonitoring/FeNO result	
		FST positive (n=35)	FST negative (n=41)
Т	Good (n=27)	12	15
Α	Intermediate (n=40)	19	21
1	Poor (n=9)	4	5

The numbers in green represent agreement between the TAI and biomarkerled interpretation of adherence

### **Discussion & Conclusion**

- Literature consistently reports adherence to an ICS in asthma as ~50%<sup>3,4</sup>. With figures suggesting 5.4 million people in the UK have asthma and their outcomes are some of the worst in Europe, there is a clear need for a simple and effective way to identify those in need of medicines optimisation.
- The TAI questionnaire is simple, accessible (in multiple languages), and considered one of the most robust patient reported adherence questionnaires available<sup>5</sup>. However, in this cohort, a third of patients with eMonitoring/biomarker evidence to suggest suboptimal ICS adherence (that is, a positive FST) had completed a TAI that over-estimated ICS use. Conversely, in the FST negative patients (likely to have been adherent prior to eMonitor initiation), almost two thirds of patients identified themselves on TAI as having suboptimal adherence. This appears to suggest that the TAI may not accurately predict adherence and limit its usefulness in attempts to triage patients for a more in-depth adherence review or eMonitoring.
- A previously published audit of patients in this clinic<sup>6</sup> concurred with the aforementioned literature<sup>3,4</sup>. It suggested that upon scrutinising prescription refill data, half of patients had suboptimal adherence in the year before referral. Similarly, of the 88 people included in this analysis, 47 (54%) could be described as having poor adherence - 12 had poor adherence observed on the eMonitor and 35 had a positive FST suggesting poor adherence prior to the eMonitor (but interestingly, good adherence observed on the eMonitor). This presents a potential confounder to consider before we reject the TAI – that in some people, using the eMonitor in itself encourages better adherence, at least in the short-term.
- In conclusion, the TAI may be useful to identify patients with sub-optimal adherence requiring further support, but that the use of eMonitoring not only allows objective classification of adherence, it may also support its improvement.

## References

- Plaza V et al. Test of Adherence to Inhalers Arch Bronchoneumol 2017;53:360-1
- 2. Heaney LG et al. Remotely Monitored Therapy and Nitric Oxide Suppression Identifies Non-Adherence in Severe Asthma. American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine 2019;199(4):454-464
  - Brennan V, Mulvey C, Costello RW. Breathe 2021; 17: 210039
- Holmes J and Heaney LG. Measuring adherence to therapy in airways disease *Breathe* 2021 17: 210037
- Plaza V, Fernández-Rodríguez C, Melero C, et al. Validation of the 'Test of the Adherence to Inhalers' (TAI) for Asthma and COPD Patients. J Aerosol Med Pulm Drug Deliv. 2016 Apr;29(2):142-52.
- 6. The Adherence to Inhaled Corticosteroid Therapy of Patients Referred to a UK Tertiary Asthma Clinic G. D'Ancona, et al. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2021;203:A1619



The authors have no relevant financial interests to declare

Correspondence to: grainne.dancona@gstt.nhs.uk









