



MANAGEMENT OF TOXICITIES RELATED TO CYCLIN-DEPENDENT KINASE 4/6 INHIBITORS IN METASTATIC BREAST CANCER

<u>SÁNCHEZ-ARGAIZ</u>, M.C; GONZALEZ-PADILLA, M; GOMEZ-DELGADO, M; CANCELA DÍEZ, B; MOYA CARMONA, I. Hospital Universitario Virgen De La Victoria, Servicio De Farmacia, Málaga, Spain

5PSQ-166

L01 - Cytostatics

Background and importance

Treatment goals for advanced or metastatic breast cancer include not only delaying progression of the disease and extending survival, but also maintaining or improving quality of patient life. New targeted therapies, like cyclindependent kinase (CDK)4/6-inhibitors, have improved patients' outcomes with hormonal receptor(HR)-positive, HER-negative metastatic breast cancer compared with conventional singleagent endocrine therapy. They contribute to achieve clinical benefits but at the same time they are the cause of complex and potentially severe adverse events that require a good clinical management of toxicities.

Results

58 patients were included, median age 55 years(75-39). 100% with disease stage IV and the main metastatic location was bone (87%).
67% (39) receiving ribociclib.
29% (17) receiving palbociclib.
4% (2) receiving abemaciclib.
ECOG at the beginning: 0 in 55% (32); 1 in 28% (16); and 2 in 10%(6).
Average of cycles received: 15 (1-36).

Aim and objectives

38 (**66%**) patients suffered severe **ADRs** (grade 3-4), approximately 3 severe ADRs per patient.

Neutropenia was the most common ADR grade 3/4 (**85%**) related to CDK4/6-inhibitor, highest with ribociclib than other CDK4/6-inhibitor; followed by gastrointestinal disorders (**5%**).

Assess the safety of CDK4/6-inhibitors analyzing the relevant Adverse Drug Reactions(ADRs) and reviewing the clinical management of toxicities.

Material and methods

Retrospective and observational study in a second level hospital. We have assessed the safety of the three CDK4/6-inhibitors (ribociclib, palbociclib and a b e m a c i c l i b) r e v i e w i ng m e d i c a l a n d pharmaceutical records of all patients that were attended at the pharmacy department from January to March 2020. Collected data: age, ECOG, cancer stage, metastatic location, kind of CDK4/6-inhibitors in combination with endocrine therapy, adverse drug reaction (ADR), grade, clinical management: dose reductions, temporary interruptions and permanent discontinuations.

Management of severe ADR (n=38)



19 patients also needed supportive treatments

Conclusion and relevance



msanchezargaiz@gmail.com

In spite of the manageable safety profile of CDK4/6-inhibitor in clinical practice, the frequency of severe ADRs associated to these treatments makes necessary a consistent **close monitoring side effects and toxicity** due to interpatient variability, and a practical management strategies to find the optimal therapy for each patient.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

No conflict of interest