## ERIBULIN USEIN CP-141

# METASTATIC BREAST CANCER

Fernández Fernández R, Creus Baró, N, Carcelero San Martín, E, Codina Jané, C. Pharmacy Department, Hospital Clinic and Provincial of Barcelona. Spain.

#### **OBJECTIVES**

To evaluate the prescription pattern of eribulin in a tertiary care hospital

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

- Retrospective and observational study
- Patients who received at least one dose of eribulin, from February 2014 until September 2015 were included
- Data were obtained from the computerized physician order entry system
- •Data collected: patient's demographics, diagnosis, previous and concomitant treatments, performance status (PS), number of doses, progression free survival (PFS), response rate and toxicity

|                                      | N  | 11 patients           | Treatment line   | Response Rate   |
|--------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|--|---|
|                                      | Age<br>(Mean and range)                      | 58.7 years<br>[43-72] | 3  | 3<br>(27%) (9%)<br>(9%)                                   |
|                                      | Metastasic sites (Median)                    | 3 sites               |  | 2 (18%)   |
| Results                              | PS   | ≤1                    |  | (37%)   |
|                                      | Hormone Receptors +                          | 11/11                 |  |   |
|                                      | HER2 +                                       | 4/11                  | ■ Third line   | No response   |
|                                      | Still in treatment                           | 4/11                  | Fourth line  | Dissociative response                                     |
|                                      | Previous taxanes and anthracyclines          | 9/11                  | ■ Fifth line ■ 6 or more line  | <ul><li>Stable disease</li><li>Partial response</li></ul> |
|                                      | Dose reduction for toxicity                  | 7/11                  |  | ■ Non-assessable  |
|                                      | Among patients who stopped treatment (7/11): |                       | 2/11 Patients didn't recive anthracyclines due to major contraindication |   |
|                                      | Doses<br>(Mean)                              | 11.3                  | Major cause of dose re   | duction: neutropenia (7/11)                               |
|                                      | PFS<br>(Median)                              | 4.7 months            |  |   |
| Cause of treatment : DISCONTINUATION |  |                       | PROGRESSION OF THE DISEASE   | ONLY in ONE case stopped due to gastrointestinal toxicity |
| CONCLUSIO                            | INS  |                       |  |   |

• Eribulin was prescribed according the approved hospital criteria. Eribulin was well-tolerated

#### DISCUSSION

- Eribulin has recently been indicated for the treatment of patients with locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer who have progressed after at least one chemotherapeutic regimen for advanced disease. However, eribulin use in our hospital is still limited to patients who have previously received two treatment lines for metastatic disease, including taxanes and anthracyclines (as adjuvant or metastatic setting)
- •Median PFS in evaluable patients was 4.7 months, which is similar to the results obtained in EMBRACE and E7389-G000-301 studies (3.7 and 4.1 months, respectively)<sup>1,2</sup>

REFERENCES: 1. Cortes J, et al. EMBRACE Study. Lancet. 2011 Mar 12;377(9769):914-23. 2. Kaufman PA, et al. Study 301. CancerRes72(24 Suppl):Abstract S6–6. **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:** Poster presented at the XXI Congress of the European Association of Hospital Pharmacist, 16-18 March 2016, Viena, Austria.



