INTEREST IN THE MEDICATION RECONCILIATION AND ESTABLISHMENT OF A PRIORITISATION SCORE IN A VASCULAR SURGERY DEPARTMENT

E. SNOBBERT¹, A. ETANGSALE¹, C. BALOUZET¹, A. SAPIN¹, S. PARGADE¹, B. KADRI¹, S. CAMPS¹.

¹INSTITUT MUTUALISTE MONTSOURIS, PHARMACY, PARIS, FRANCE.



5PSQ-158

<u>erwan91240@gmail.com</u>

Patients in Vascular Surgery Department (VSD) are under several medications with high risk of medication error

Because of high turn-over in surgery department it is difficult for pharmacist to check the whole admissions

want to evaluate the interest of Medication We **R**econciliation (MR) in VSD and identify a prioritisation score to target patients with the highly risk of medication error

PURPOSE

MATERIAL AND METHOD

CONTEXT

For each patients included, a prioritisation score

is calculated based on age, number of drugs,

comorbidities and different therapeutic class

The pharmacist use MR to collect several sources about admitted patient

All the information concerning current patient treatment is compared to actual hospital prescription to highlight if there are Unintentional Divergences (UD)

Three classes of divergences are distinguished : intentional with notification, intentional without notification and unintentional

prescribed							
Items	Score	Items	Score				
Age (year	·)	Current treatment with					
< 14	1	Anticoagulant drugs	3				
15 – 74	0	≥ 3 cardiovascular drugs	5				
75 – 84	1	Antidiabetic drugs	2				
> 85	2	Anticancer drugs	3				
Initial number of drugs		Antiepileptic drugs	2				
0 – 3	0	Antipsychotic drugs	2				
4 - 6	2	Ophthalmic eye drops or	1				
≥ 7	4	ointment					

A threshold of this score is searched to target the patients with high risk of UD

A Chi2 test is performed to assess if a threshold of 11 is significant to found an association between the score and the presence of UD

RESULTS

Patients								
Number	233							

Patients with at least one UD are grouped according to their score calculated with our



Age	69	prioritisation grid						NO	64	90	154		
median [min-max]	[19-97]	Chart 1 - Num	her of 1	nati	ent	\ \ /it	th I II			YES	53	26	79
Admitted per day	12	Chart 1 - Number of patient with UD according to the prioritisation score						TOTAL	117	116	233		
mean										Significant asso	alue < 0,0 ciation be presence	tween the s	score
Number of drugs	prescribed									Chart 2 - Diver	gences fo	r threshold	of
Current treatment median [min-max]	9 [0-21]	Number of pat	ients								ation scor		
During hospitalisation median [min-max]	9 [1-19]			19					NO			54	90
Unintentional Div	ΙΔΥσωής		0			11			YES		53	Priori score Priori	≥ 11
Number	145		9		6		6 6	-		26		score	
Main Antihy	/pertensive gs (10%)	1 1 2 2 2 2 2	4		6		6 €	5	C) 10 20 20			
Main Omis reason	sion (30%)	045678 Pric	9 10 pritisatio			13	14 1	5 16		²⁰ 30 40 Number o f	50 60	70 80 9	0





CONCLUSION

Medication reconciliation identifies UD in 34% of patients

A threshold score at 11 has been identified with our prioritisation grid

Criteria of the grid could be improve to be more specific to patients in VSD

Real interest of MR in VSD to limit the risk of error

Optimization of MR's time according to the hight turn-over in VSD

More efficient and time saving for the pharmacist to identify patient Currently, MR has been performed to VSD, mainly to patient with score ≥ 11

L'INSTITUT MUTUALISTE MONTSOURIS GHMF

24th EAHP Congress, 27-29 March 2019 - BARCELONA

