

5PSQ-154

INCIDENT REPORTS VERSUS DIRECT OBSERVATION TO IDENTIFY MEDICATION ERRORS AND RISK FACTORS IN HOSPITALISED NEWBORNS



Centre hospitalier universitaire vaudois

D. Palmero^{1,2}, E. R. Di Paolo¹, C. Stadelmann³, A. Pannatier^{1,2}, J.-F. Tolsa³, F. Sadeghipour^{1,2}

¹Department of Pharmacy, Lausanne University Hospital, Lausanne; ²School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Geneva and University of Lausanne, Geneva; ³Department of Neonatology, Lausanne University Hospital, Lausanne, Switzerland.

Background

Medication errors (MEs) are frequent in hospitals, and newborns are particularly exposed. Identification and understanding the causes and risk factors associated with MEs will help to improve the effectiveness of medication.

Conclusions

Caregivers underreported the true rate of MEs in our NICU.

The risk of MEs is increased in newborns <32 weeks and increases with the number of drugs prescribed to

Objectives

 To compare the rate of MEs highlighted through voluntary incident reports and direct observation
 To identify risk factors that contributed to the occurrence of MEs, in order to implement interventions to reduce their occurrence and improve effectiveness of medication

Methods

- Setting: 12-bed neonatal intensive care unit (NICU)
- All MEs reported by caregivers from June to September 2010 and August to November 2012 in our incident reporting system were analysed and compared with MEs detected by direct observation by a clinical pharmacist

each patient.



(CP).

 Statistics: Poisson regressions were performed to identify risk factors for MEs.

Results

- 164 patients were included in the study
- 383 MEs were identified by direct observation by the CP and 2 MEs were declared by caregivers



Figure 2: Type of MEs observed at prescription, preparation and administration steps

	Response variable	Explicative variable	Values	Incidence rate ratio	P-value
	Total	Birth weight	< 1000 g	0.65	0.11
	medication		1000-1499 g	0.67	0.12
	errors		1500-2499 g	0.98	0.90
		Gestational age	< 32 wk	1.61	0.04
			32-37 wk	1.06	0.76
		Severity of the	1B (nurse resources:	1.21	0.30

Figure 1: Incidence of MEs at prescription, preparation and administration steps

disease	1 nurse/2 patients)			
	2 (nurse resources: 1 nurse/2–3 patients)	1.45	0.13	
Mode of ventilation	Continuous positive airway pressure	1.32	0.07	
	Mechanical	1.34	0.24	
Number of prescription		1.19	<0.01	
Year	2012	0.96	0.71	

 Table 1: Impact of different explicative variables in the occurrence of MEs





http://www.eahp.eu 4-5PSQ-154

Contact: david.palmero@chuv.ch